

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

Stenhagen Patentbyrå AB  
Box 4630  
116 91 Stockholm  
Sverige

# PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing  
(day/month/year)

18-05-2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference

P9202PC

**FOR FURTHER ACTION**

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

PCT/SE2005/000198

International filing date (day/month/year)

15.02.2005

Priority date (day/month/year)

05.03.2004

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

A63C 11/04, G01N 19/02

Applicant

Tomas Lackman

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

## 2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further opinions, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/SE  
Patent- och registreringsverket  
Box 5055  
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM

Facsimile No. +46 8 667 72 88

Authorized officer

Björn Lindkvist / JA A

Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/SE2005/000198

**Box No. I      Basis of this opinion**

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  
☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
  - a. type of material  
☐ a sequence listing  
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
  - b. format of material  
☐ in written format  
☐ in computer readable form
  - c. time of filing/furnishing  
☐ contained in the international application as filed.  
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/SE2005/000198

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	1-6	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-6	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-6	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations:

The claimed invention

The claimed invention relates to a device for the evaluation of at least one friction property of a ski wax against the surface of snow in a skiing track, comprising a disc carried by a stand and having a substantially planar working surface, on which a layer of wax that is to be evaluated is applied, members for the pressing of the disc by a preselected force against the snow surface and driving members for the driving of the disc in relation to the snow surface. The driving members comprise an electric motor having a known relationship between the electric power consumption of the electric motor and the braking power of the disc and that means are provided for the readout of the electric power consumption of the motor, at least in the beginning of the displacement of the disc in relation to the snow surface.

The following documents have been cited in the International Search Report

D1: US 4 890 477 A  
D2: SU 1 454 488 A1  
D3: SU 787 051 A  
D4: FR 2 387 063 A  
D5: US 4 712 418 A  
D6: US 4 051 713 A

.../...

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/SE2005/000198

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: V.

The documents cited in the International Search Report represent the prior art. The claimed invention stated in claims 1-6 is not considered to be anticipated by these documents. None of the documents or any relevant combination of them reveals a device for the evaluation of the friction of a ski wax against a snow surface in a skiing track as described by these claims.

According to the arguments stated above, the invention claimed in claims 1-6 is novel, considered to involve an inventive step and has industrial applicability.